



PA Compact Rules Committee Meeting Minutes
 March 9, 2026

1
2

Name	Member Role	Voting Member	Attendance
Jamie Alley	WV Delegate	x	x
Susan Gile	KS Delegate	x	x
Lucy Treene	VA Alternate	No longer serving on the commission	
Valeska Barr	OK Delegate	x	x
Elizabeth Huntley	MN Delegate	x	
Stephanie Loucka	OH Delegate	x	x
Catherine Marie Patterson	TN Delegate	x	x
Larry Marx	UT Delegate	x	x
Robert Sanders	WI Delegate	x	
Amber Houge	IA Delegate	x	
Total voting members present		Quorum – 5/9	6 /9
Marisa Courtney	Vice Chair PA Commission		
Kathy Scarbalis	Ex-Officio – AAPA		x
Tim Terranova	Chair PA Commission		x
Name	Non-Member Role		Attendance
Nahale Kalfas	Interim Legal Counsel		x
Abigail Mortell	Interim Executive Director		x
Carl Sims	CSG		x
Laura Monick	OH Alternate		x

3

VOTES				
Name	Agenda	Adopt Minutes as amended from February 9, 2026	Adopt & Transmit Draft Rule 5 – Joint Investigations to Executive Committee	Transmit Draft Rule 6 – Fees to Executive Committee
Jamie Alley		1		2
Valeska Barr				
Elizabeth Huntley				
Stephanie Loucka				

Catherine Marie Patterson				
Larry Marx	1	2	2	1
Robert Sanders				
Susan Gile	2		1	
Amber Houge				
TOTALS	Motion passes	Motion passes	Motion passes	Motion passes

4 **Welcome**

5 **Call to order/Roll Call**

6

7 Chair Loucka calls meeting to order at 11:00 a.m. ET.

8 A. Mortell takes roll. 6/9 voting members present.

9

10 **Review and Adopt Agenda**

11 Committee reviews the agenda; Chair Loucka calls for a motion to adopt the agenda.

12 **Motion:**

- 13 • Larry Marx motions to adopt the agenda.
- 14 • Susan Gile seconds.
- 15 • All members present voted in favor; none abstained; motion passed.

16

17 **Minutes from February 9, 2026**

18 Committee reviews the draft minutes. A. Mortell requests non-substantive, technical changes be
 19 made under the minute’s subheading “Draft Rule 3 – Compact Privilege” to make more explicit
 20 what the committee’s decisions were regarding each public comment. Chair Loucka asks for a
 21 motion to adopt minutes as amended from February 9, 2026.

22 **Motion:**

- 23 • Jamie Alley motions to adopt February 9, 2026, minutes as amended.
- 24 • Larry Marx seconds.
- 25 • All members present voted in favor; none abstained; motion passed.

26

27 **Draft Rule 5 – Joint Investigations**

- 28 • Joint investigations draft memo
 - 29 ○ Committee notes N. Kalfas’s memo on joint investigations will be useful for
 - 30 member states and states considering compact legislation this session.
- 31 • Chair Loucka opens discussion on Draft Rule 5 – Joint Investigations.
 - 32 ○ J. Alley requests removing h.2 language from draft rule since the memo addresses
 - 33 joint investigations.
 - 34 ○ S. Gile – How will the public and/or new member states access the joint
 - 35 investigations memo?
 - 36 ○ N. Kalfas – The committee can elect to share the memo with states that have
 - 37 questions regarding joint investigations and subpoenas.
 - 38 ○ Chair Loucka proposes including the memo as part of a starter pack for new
 - 39 member states.

- J. Alley agrees with making the memo part of an onboarding packet rather than sharing it based upon request.
- Chair Loucka suggests to T. Terranova and the Executive Committee that the minutes from previous Rules Committee meetings be shared with new member states, which can serve as background on the rule making process.
- K. Scarbalis agrees with including the memo in the starter pack.
- S. Gile suggests maintaining the language in h.2 but changing “disputes” to “decisions.”
 - J. Alley notes it may invite litigation between member states.
 - Chair Loucka agrees.
- Chair Loucka suggests removing h.2 and making h.1 part of the preceding paragraph.
 - N. Kalfas agrees with suggestion and suggests adding “such *lawful* requests.”
 - J. Alley agrees with adding “lawful” but not after “such” as it implies lawfulness.
 - Chair Loucka suggests removing “such” and adding “lawful” as suggested by N. Kalfas.
 - No objections from the committee to removing h.2, combining h.1 with preceding paragraph, adding “lawful” and removing “such.”
- N. Kalfas requests the Commission make a policy on memos in the future.
- Chair Loucka requests a motion to adopt the rule as drafted and transmit to the Executive Committee for review.

Motion:

- Susan Gile motions to adopt Draft Rule 5 – Joint Investigations and transmit to the Executive Committee.
- Larry Marx seconds.
- All members present voted in favor; none abstained; motion passed.

Draft Rule 6 – Fees

- Chair Loucka opens discussion on Draft Rule 6 – Fees.
 - L. Monick notes drafting the rule included research on other commissions’ fee processes and rules.
 - Chair Loucka notes since there are unknowns currently regarding the data system, this committee may choose to transmit the rule to the Executive Committee in a draft phase to determine if the rule is compatible with data system development. The Executive Committee may return the rule to this committee with feedback.
 - Chair Loucka provides an overview of the rule sections.
 - States will establish the amount for the non-refundable privilege fee. The fee is non-refundable as some states by law cannot issue refunds.
 - The Commission collects fees and remits amounts to member states.
 - L. Monick notes 6.3(c) on credit card transaction fees is informed by the IMLCC process.
 - L. Monick notes on 6.5 the \$100.00 service fee matches what is used among a wide array of other compacts.
- 6.3(c)

- 86
- 87
- 88
- 89
- 90
- 91
- 92
- 93
- 94
- 95
- 96
- 97
- 98
- 99
- 100
- 101
- 102
- 103
- 104
- 105
- 106
- 107
- 108
- 109
- 110
- 111
- 112
- 113
- 114
- 115
- 116
- 117
- 118
- 119
- 120
- 121
- 122
- 123
- 124
- 125
- 126
- 127
- 128
- 129
- 130
- 131
- N. Kalfas recommends using “privilege applicants” instead of “users” and creating a defined term.
 - N. Kalfas requests the committee consider the IMLCC’s method for determining the need for a member state assessment in the event of insufficient funds and whether a similar method could be added to this rule so member states are aware of the circumstances that would trigger an assessment.
 - J. Alley is concerned with using “privilege applicants” or “participating person” because the fees in many instances the privileges will be paid for by companies.
 - L. Monick suggests using “payor” instead of “users.”
 - N. Kalfas agrees with suggestion of “payor.”
 - Chair Loucka suggests defining “payor” as the applicant or the person paying on behalf of the applicant.
 - N. Kalfas notes that defining “payor” is an option so long as elsewhere it is qualified that whoever is paying on behalf of the applicant may not complete the application as it includes attestations.
 - Chair Loucka notes “payor” may be confusing.
 - S. Gile notes that the Kansas application includes an attestation from the applicant that the information is correct and completed by the applicant.
 - Chair Loucka notes that when something is passed on to the payor, the responsibility is still on the applicant to pay what is due.
 - J. Alley suggests “passed on in a manner to be determined by the Executive Committee.”
 - S. Gile suggests “may be passed on to the credit card holder.”
 - N. Kalfas suggests “may be passed on to the applicant.”
 - L. Marx agrees with N. Kalfas’s suggestion and notes credentialing companies are difficult to communicate with, so the responsibility should be on the applicant since they are ultimately responsible for the license/privilege.
 - V. Barr agrees with L. Marx.
 - J. Alley prefers S. Gile’s suggestion of “cardholder” but is not against “applicant” and notes the payment will be automatic within the system.
 - Chair Loucka suggests “fees paid by the Compact Commission for credit card transactions may be included in the total cost of application in a manner to be determined by the Executive Committee.”
 - No objections, suggestion is incorporated.
 - N. Kalfas clarifies if it is the chair’s intention to send the rule to the Executive Committee for general review to then be sent back to the Rules Committee.
 - Chair Loucka confirms this committee would be requesting the Executive Committee review the structure of the rule for compatibility with the data system as it is being developed and work on determining the fee amounts that need to be specified in the current draft. The Executive Committee may hold the rule as these aspects are developing or send it back to the Rules Committee for redrafting.
 - L. Marx notes the commission fee has not been established yet, but this rule gives a good basis upon which to establish a fee.
 - Chair Loucka requests a motion to transmit the rule as drafted to the Executive Committee for review.

132 **Motion:**

- 133
- Larry Marx motions to adopt and transmit Draft Rule 6 – Fees to the Executive Committee.
 - 134
 - Jamie Alley seconds.
 - 135
 - All members present voted in favor; none abstained; motion passed.
 - 136

137

138 **Misdemeanor Convictions & Compact Eligibility**

- 139
- Chair Loucka opens the discussion on misdemeanor convictions and compact eligibility.
 - 140 ○ N. Kalfas notes the PA Compact language is more extreme regarding
 - 141 misdemeanors and felony convictions than other compacts. A legal read of the
 - 142 compact indicates an outright ban on practitioners with misdemeanors and/or
 - 143 felony convictions.
 - 144
 - Chair Loucka notes it may not be necessary to determine whether there is a possibility
 - 145 that practitioners with convictions may participate in the compact as there are multiple
 - 146 pathways to licensure that practitioners may utilize.
 - 147 ○ J. Alley agrees with Chair Loucka and notes that states’ participation in the
 - 148 compact was decided with the understanding that there is a total ban.
 - 149
 - K. Scarbalis notes that the total ban was an intentionally stringent part of drafting the
 - 150 compact, along with requiring the NCCPA certification requirement. PAs who were part
 - 151 of the PA Compact drafting process were in favor of a higher bar of entry.
 - 152 ○ M. Patterson affirms K. Scarbalis’s explanation of the drafting process
 - 153 discussions.
 - 154
 - Chair Loucka recommends that the existence of alternative pathways to licensure be
 - 155 emphasized by the Communications Committee.
 - 156

156

157 **Delegate & Public Comments**

- 158
- T. Terranova requests that the committee draft a rule on elections in anticipation of L. Marx’s retirement and the need to elect a new commission treasurer and Finance Committee chair.
 - 159
 - S. Gile thanks L. Monick, J. Alley, and committee staff for preparation and work completed outside of committee’s meetings.
 - 160
 - 161
 - 162

163

164 **Adjourn**

165 Chair Loucka adjourns the meeting at 12:08 p.m. ET.